

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., et al.,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 DEXON COMPUTER, INC., et al.,

12 Defendants.

Case No. [20-cv-04926-CRB](#)


**AMENDED ORDER NEITHER
GRANTING NOR DENYING
DEXON'S MOTION FOR LEAVE**

13 On July 1, 2022, Defendant Dexon filed a motion for leave to file a motion for
14 reconsideration. Motion for Leave (dkt. 130). On July 5, "out of an abundance of
15 caution," the Court granted the motion and ordered that Plaintiff Cisco could respond with
16 an opposition of the same length by July 15. See Order Granting Leave to File (dkt. 132).
17 On July 7, Dexon filed an ex parte application for clarification as to whether it could file a
18 separate substantive motion for reconsideration, as appears to be contemplated by Local
19 Rule 7-9. Dkt. 133.

20 The Court now hereby amends its prior order as follows: at this time, the Court
21 NEITHER GRANTS NOR DENIES Dexon's motion for reconsideration. Rather, the
22 opposition the Court already ordered from Cisco (which is still due July 15) should be
23 understood as an opposition to Dexon's motion for leave to file a motion for
24 reconsideration. Such filings are explicitly contemplated by Local Rule 7-9(d). The Court
25 does not require a reply by Dexon on this matter.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Dated: July 8, 2022



CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Judge